Going to the CLOUD!

DISCLAIMER:

This talk is about work in progress. Completeness and accuracy aren't guaranteed beyond best effort

• Old hardware

- Old hardware
- A lot of profitable legacy software

- Old hardware
- A lot of profitable legacy software
- Openstack + bare metal

- Old hardware
- A lot of profitable legacy software
- Openstack + bare metal
- Working CI/CD

- Old hardware
- A lot of profitable legacy software
- Openstack + bare metal
- Working CI/CD
- Working configuration management

- Old hardware
- A lot of profitable legacy software
- Openstack + bare metal
- Working CI/CD
- Working configuration management
- Small infrastructure team

- Old hardware
- A lot of profitable legacy software
- Openstack + bare metal
- Working CI/CD
- Working configuration management
- Small infrastructure team
- Software is an essential business component, but our business is not software

- Old hardware
- A lot of profitable legacy software
- Openstack + bare metal
- Working CI/CD
- Working configuration management
- Small infrastructure team
- Software is an essential business component, but our business is not software
- Developers are on call for production application issues

- Scaling
 - Cloud systems let you scale in smaller increments on demand

- Scaling
 - Cloud systems let you scale in smaller increments on demand
- Variability in demand
 - Low variability in demand for computing resources supports staying in-house
 - Highly variable systems benefit from moving to the cloud far more

- Scaling
 - Cloud systems let you scale in smaller increments on demand
- Variability in demand
 - Low variability in demand for computing resources supports staying in-house
 - Highly variable systems benefit from moving to the cloud far more
- Legal issues
 - Privacy regulations in the EU itself
 - Also different laws between different EU countries
 - Brexit

- Scaling
 - Cloud systems let you scale in smaller increments on demand
- Variability in demand
 - Low variability in demand for computing resources supports staying in-house
 - Highly variable systems benefit from moving to the cloud far more
- Legal issues
 - Privacy regulations in the EU itself
 - Also different laws between different EU countries
 - Brexit
- Software design
 - Observability must be built into the software

Already using Docker

- Already using Docker
- Already moving to microservices

- Already using Docker
- Already moving to microservices
- Moving from Mesos to Kubernetes was easy

- Already using Docker
- Already moving to microservices
- Moving from Mesos to Kubernetes was easy
- This made Google's Cloud offering a slightly better choice than Amazon
 - Google being cheaper helped a bit

- Already using Docker
- Already moving to microservices
- Moving from Mesos to Kubernetes was easy
- This made Google's Cloud offering a slightly better choice than Amazon
 - Google being cheaper helped a bit
- Neither was cheaper than running our own hardware
 - Savings mostly come from the lack of a dedicated operations group, and from being able to avoid some HA requirements

The technical research phase

• Lasted about half a year

The technical research phase

- Lasted about half a year
- Focus on two main areas:
 - How to manage infrastructure manually at the vendor
 - Tooling and automation

Why manual work?

- Familiarisation
 - Terminology

Why manual work?

- Familiarisation
 - Terminology
- Concepts

Why manual work?

- Familiarisation
 - Terminology
- Concepts
- Discover limitations
 - There are a lot of those
 - Some more interesting than others (load balancing, IPv6, DNS, ...)

- Shell scripts
 - Via gcloud + gsutil

- Shell scripts
 - Via gcloud + gsutil
- Ansible
 - We had Ansible experience
 - Built some systems with ansible
 - Very limited in what it can do without using gcloud

- Shell scripts
 - Via gcloud + gsutil
- Ansible
 - We had Ansible experience
 - Built some systems with ansible
 - Very limited in what it can do without using gcloud
- Puppet
 - Was not a serious contender six months ago

- Shell scripts
 - Via gcloud + gsutil
- Ansible
 - We had Ansible experience
 - Built some systems with ansible
 - Very limited in what it can do without using gcloud
- Puppet
 - Was not a serious contender six months ago
- Terraform
 - The best of the lot
 - It has improved a lot since this slideset was first made

Configuration management

- Stateless systems implemented in a 12-factor style are best put in containers and managed via Kubernetes
 - Alternatively, use what Google calls managed groups and spin up VMs automatically in case of crashes

Configuration management

- Stateless systems implemented in a 12-factor style are best put in containers and managed via Kubernetes
 - Alternatively, use what Google calls managed groups and spin up VMs automatically in case of crashes
- We still need configuration management for systems which aren't in a container

Configuration management

- Stateless systems implemented in a 12-factor style are best put in containers and managed via Kubernetes
 - Alternatively, use what Google calls managed groups and spin up VMs automatically in case of crashes
- We still need configuration management for systems which aren't in a container
- Puppet was the obvious choice, because we were already using it
 - It doesn't matter which specific tool you use, but use one.

Inventory

- There isn't a nice CMDB out there yet, which can automagically provision VMs in the cloud and provide information to config-mgmt and orchestration tools
 - We currently hack our way around this by using tags and the Google API

Moving into high speed

- One meeting
 - Three people
 - Thirty minutes

Moving into high speed

- One meeting
 - Three people
 - Thirty minutes
- Decided on goals for a proof of concept
 - Complete automation
 - Custom tooling around the application
 - Fixed target application for a test deployment

Moving into high speed

- One meeting
 - Three people
 - Thirty minutes
- Decided on goals for a proof of concept
 - Complete automation
 - Custom tooling around the application
 - Fixed target application for a test deployment
- Took us about three months of full time effort to wrap up the PoC

Tools of choice

- Terraform
 - This is a pretty fast moving tool
 - They have good documentation
 - For some value of good.
 - Getting your first bits and pieces working are harder than they should be, but the rest then follow pretty easily

Tools of choice

- Terraform
 - This is a pretty fast moving tool
 - They have good documentation
 - For some value of good.
 - Getting your first bits and pieces working are harder than they should be, but the rest then follow pretty easily
- Puppet
 - New Puppet repo, ignoring a lot of legacy.
 - Jumped Puppet version
 - Discarded large parts of the module approach recommended in Puppet documentation

Terraform

- Base network project
 - All network related things are done in this project

Terraform

- Base network project
 - All network related things are done in this project
- Other projects use instance groups with a mostly standard template
 - They reference network configs from the base project

Terraform

- Base network project
 - All network related things are done in this project
- Other projects use an instance group with a mostly standard template
 - They reference network configs from the base project
- Google metadata is used to tie together Puppet and Terraform

Shared backends

- We started with a simple backend for Terraform, with no remote state.
 - This does not scale to many users, but for the initial proof of concept was useful.

Shared backends

- We started with a simple backend for Terraform, with no remote state.
 - This does not scale to many users, but for the initial proof of concept was useful.
- We then spent a few days very carefully refactoring this into per project state, with the shared state being remote in a cloud storage bucket.
 - https://charity.wtf/2016/03/30/terraform-vpc-and-why-youwant-a-tfstate-file-per-env/ is a pretty good horror story of what could go wrong

* Documentation * API * Stateful data * IPv6

* Secrets

Google Cloud Documentation

• Lags behind software

Google Cloud Documentation

- Lags behind software
- Is often inconsistent

Google Cloud Documentation

- Lags behind software
- Is often inconsistent
- This has not changed in about three years
 - This is not limited to Google though.

API

- Quite inconsistent in some regards
 - Particularly about referencing other properties
 - Name or reference?

API

- Quite inconsistent in some regards
 - Particularly about referencing other properties
 - Name or reference?
- Needs actual examples
 - A lot of examples
 - This has not really improved since I first wrote this talk

• There are no good answers for high availability

- There are no good answers for high availability
- Google offers multiple options for storage
 - Some of these are more reliable than others
 - But they are more complex to use
 - Or involve code changes

- There are no good answers for high availability
- Google offers multiple options for storage
 - Some of these are more reliable than others
 - But they are more complex to use
 - Or involve code changes
- Maintenance can cause outages
 - automatic failover for CloudSQL needs a whole zone to fail, so a maintenance can cause an unexpected outage

- There are no good answers for high availability
- Google offers multiple options for storage
 - Some of these are more reliable than others
 - But they are more complex to use
 - Or involve code changes
- Maintenance can cause outages
 - automatic failover for CloudSQL needs a whole zone to fail, so a maintenance can cause an unexpected outage
- You may need to run your own database systems for more reliable access to structured data

IPv6

- Google does not put it's money where it's mouth is wrt IPv6
 - IPv6 support is very limited in the compute environment

IPv6

- Google does not put it's money where it's mouth is wrt IPv6
 - IPv6 support is very limited in the compute environment
- We started off by routing IPv6 traffic to our loadbalancers in the legacy environment and then proxying to IPv4 in Google
 - This is no longer needed

• If you have containers, Google supports encrypted secrets.

- If you have containers, Google supports encrypted secrets.
- Using Vault from Hashicorp looks like a good option, but you still need to code applications to use those secrets instead of reading from a config file

- If you have containers, Google supports encrypted secrets.
- Using Vault from Hashicorp looks like a good option, but you still need to code applications to use those secrets instead of reading from a config file
- Anything else which works with your configuration management system is a good idea (eyaml with Puppet, for example)
 - You still have the problem of managing a few master encryption keys

- If you have containers, Google supports encrypted secrets.
- Using Vault from Hashicorp looks like a good option, but you still need to code applications to use those secrets instead of reading from a config file
- Anything else which works with your configuration management system is a good idea (eyaml with Puppet, for example)
 - You still have the problem of managing a few master encryption keys
- We tested hiera-vault, but performance was terrible

Loadbalancing

- Google's load balancer offering is limited in some ways as compared to more advanced tools like F5s, etc
- We chose to replace the hardware LBs with simple IP based load balancer + nginx proxies
 - Note that code which tracks IP addresses or does geolocation needs to change to handle this.

Monitoring

- Stackdriver looks promising for log management
 - It has quite a few retention limitations
 - New pricing makes it cheaper to run an ELK stack, depending on log volume

Monitoring

- Stackdriver looks promising for log management
 - It has quite a few retention limitations
 - New pricing makes it cheaper to run an ELK stack, depending on log volume
- Stackdriver is a good replacement for the ELK stack, but not for high quality analytics/monitoring

Monitoring

- Stackdriver looks promising for log management
 - It has quite a few retention limitations
 - New pricing makes it cheaper to run an ELK stack, depending on log volume
- Stackdriver is a good replacement for the ELK stack, but not for high quality analytics/monitoring
- There isn't a really good alternative to running your own time-series database
 - Especially if you use that data for alerting

Legacy code

- Plan on migrating it wholesale
 - Even if you plan to rewrite it
 - Rewrites will take longer than you plan for
 - Even your planned migrations will take longer than expected, because of environmental assumptions.

Legacy code

- Plan on migrating it wholesale
 - Even if you plan to rewrite it
 - Rewrites will take longer than you plan for
 - Even your planned migrations will take longer than expected, because of environmental assumptions.
- This does not benefit from moving to the cloud
 - You are just running it in an environment with different assumptions on latency and reliability

Spectre/Meltdown impact

- CPU utilisation doubles
 - We are currently on rather over-provisioned hardware, so actual impact is minimal
- Anything which does a lot of system calls is slowed quite a bit
 - Large data import went from 26 hours to 56

- Cloud migration is a business decision, but remember that costs will probably increase
 - Monitor your costs closely, you will discover a number of ways in which money is wasted in the cloud (debug logging, for example).

- Cloud migration is a business decision, but remember that costs will probably increase
 - Monitor your costs closely, you will discover a number of ways in which money is wasted in the cloud (debug logging, for example).
- Outsourcing your L1 operations team to people who do not care about your business needs still has the same problems as a decade or two ago

- Cloud migration is a business decision, but remember that costs will probably increase
 - Monitor your costs closely, you will discover a number of ways in which money is wasted in the cloud (debug logging, for example).
- Outsourcing your L1 operations team to people who do not care about your business needs still has the same problems as a decade or two ago
- Choosing which provider to go with often involves small differences based on your existing stack

- Cloud migration is a business decision, but remember that costs will probably increase
 - Monitor your costs closely, you will discover a number of ways in which money is wasted in the cloud (debug logging, for example).
- Outsourcing your L1 operations team to people who do not care about your business needs still has the same problems as a decade or two ago
- Choosing which provider to go with often involves small differences based on your existing stack
- The tooling available is still very raw, and we are still discovering operational design patterns

- Cloud migration is a business decision, but remember that costs will probably increase
 - Monitor your costs closely, you will discover a number of ways in which money is wasted in the cloud (debug logging, for example).
- Outsourcing your L1 operations team to people who do not care about your business needs still has the same problems as a decade or two ago
- Choosing which provider to go with often involves small differences based on your existing stack
- The tooling available is still very raw, and we are still discovering operational design patterns
- Migrating to the cloud may require a wholesale change in process
 - If you are in a large ITIL shop, that will require a huge change.

